IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 21408 of 2004

Between:

Dosadi Ram Reddy, S/o. D. Malla Reddy,
R/o. 14-181, Kishtapur, Medchal Vill & Mandal, R.R.District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 M.R.O., Medchal Mandal, R.R. District.
2 District Collector, R.R. District, at Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ directing the 1st respondent to act in accordance with law and pass final orders sanctioning mutation in favour of the petitioner in respect of 2 acres of land (13 Gts. in Sy.No.449 Part and Ac.1.27 Gts. in Sy.No. 450) of Gundlapochampalli Village, Medchal Mandal, R.R.District and further direct the 1st respondent to issue pattadar pass books and Title Deeds to the petitioner pursuant to the representation dt. 13.02.2004 and grant such other relief as it deems fit in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

The petitioner herein prays for a direction to the 1st respondent for mutation of his name in revenue records in respect of land in Ac.2-00 in Sy.No.449 and 450 of Gundlapochampalli Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

The case of the petitioner is that he purchased the above mentioned land under a registered sale deed dated 25-11-2003 and immediately thereafter made a representation to the 1st respondent on 13-2-2004 for mutation of his name in the revenue records. The petitioner claims that 1st respondent is not passing orders on his representation, in spite his calling for objections by issuing notice date 17-2-2004,.for mutation of the name of the petitioner in the revenue records.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Having regard to the fact that the representation of the petitioner dated 13-2-2004 with regard to the mutation of his name in the Revenue Records is still pending before the 1st respondent, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition, at the stage of admission, with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the said representation expeditiously.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

23-11-2004

asp

To:

1 M.R.O., Medchal Mandal, R.R. District.
2 District Collector, R.R. District, at Khaitabad, Hyderabad.

3. Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court of A.P, Hyderabad(OUT)

4. Two C.D. copies